

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/650,149	08/27/2003	Ming-Chieh Chen	US5669	7069	
54000 PCE INDUSTI	7590 07/18/200 RY. INC.	7	EXAMINER		
ATT. CHENG-JU CHIANG JEFFREY T. KNAPP			DEANE JR, WILLIAM J		
458 E. LAMBI FULLERTON		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2614		
			<del></del>		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		·	07/18/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/650,149	CHEN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	William J. Deane	2614	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated and the period for reply will be st	DATE OF THIS COMM 1.136(a). In no event, however, n od will apply and will expire SIX (6 tute, cause the application to beco	UNICATION.  nay a reply be timely filed  ) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		,	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	' August 2003.		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal	matters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objecte he drawing(s) be held in ab ection is required if the dra	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). wing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received ents have been received riority documents have be eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No een received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Pape 5) Notic	riew Summary (PTO-413) r No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application :	

Art Unit: 2614

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the call agent, the VoIP digit string processor and PSTN digit string processor must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 10/650,149

Art Unit: 2614

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1 – 4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Call agent is a broad term and is not defined in the specification nor is it in the drawings.

Are the PSTN and VoIP digit string processors the PSTN and VoIP digit map processors? If so, please be consistent.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 - 8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 7,127,043 (Morris).

Because of the problems noted above, the following rejection appears appropriate.

With respect to claims 1 and 5, Morris teaches a method of having a PSTN and a VoIP network wherein detecting the digit dialed determines to which network the call will be routed. (See Abstract and Figs. 1 and 2). The only difference between the Morris reference and the instant application is that the instant application has separate

Application/Control Number: 10/650,149

Art Unit: 2614

databases for storing the numbers. That is, VoIP numbers go to one database while PSTN numbers go to another. In Morris one database 150 contains both types of numbers. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine two separate databases into one or vice-a-versa.

With respect to claims 2-3 and 6-7, note Col. 2, line 57- Col. 3, line 3.

With respect to claims 4 and 8, note Fig.1.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bill Deane whose telephone number is (571) 272-7484. In addition, facsimile transmissions should be directed to Bill Deane at facsimile number (571) 273-8300.

13Jun2007

Page 4